

Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors and Risk of Bladder and Renal Cancer: Scandinavian Cohort Study

Diabetes Care 2022;45:e93-e96 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dc21-1917

Peter Ueda, ^{1a} Henrik Svanström, ^{1,2}
Anders Hviid, ^{2,3} Björn Eliasson, ^{2,4}
Ann-Marie Svensson, ^{4,5}
Stefan Franzén, ^{5,6}
Soffia Gudbjörnsdottir, ^{4,5}
Kristian Hveem, ^{7,8}
Christian Jonasson, ^{7,8,9}
Viktor Wintzell, ¹
Mads Melbye, ^{10,11} and
Björn Pasternak ^{1,2}

There are concerns that sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors may increase risk of bladder cancer. Such an association was indicated early in the development of the drug class and was subsequently shown in metaanalyses of randomized trials (1) and in analyses of spontaneous reports (2), although the evidence is conflicting (3). Based on animal studies, concerns have also been raised regarding an increased risk of renal cancer. Randomized trials have shown an imbalance for this cancer among patients receiving SGLT2 inhibitors versus placebo or other glucose-lowering drugs (3).

We conducted a cohort study (April 2013–December 2018) using a new-user active comparator design and nationwide data in Sweden, Denmark, and Norway from the prescription drug registers, patient registers, cancer registers, population registers, national bureaus of statistics, the Swedish National Diabetes Register, and the Danish Register of Laboratory Results for Research. Data sources

and general methods used have previously been described in detail (4,5).

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee in Stockholm, Sweden, and the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics, Oslo, Norway. In Denmark, ethics approval is not required for register-based research.

We included patients, aged 35-84 years, who filled their first prescription for either SGLT2 inhibitors or glucagonlike peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (an active comparator that was chosen because it has no known associations with the investigated outcomes and was used in similar clinical situations [as second-line or third-line diabetes drugs], with both drug classes being recommended for patients at high cardiovascular risk during the study period)). Exclusion criteria were previously filled prescriptions for any study drug; history of urinary tract cancer (including bladder carcinoma in situ), cystectomy, dialysis or renal transplantation, end-stage illness, or severe pancreatic disorders; hospitalization within 30 days before cohort entry; no recorded specialist care contact or prescription drug in the year preceding cohort entry (to exclude those with potentially incomplete information regarding medical history and prescription drug use); and biopsy/resection of the kidney or bladder, drug misuse, or any incident cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer) in the year preceding cohort entry.

Using logistic regression, we estimated country-specific propensity scores based on 40 covariates at cohort entry, including sociodemographic characteristics, comorbidities, comedications, and health care use (data on file). Patients with nonoverlapping propensity scores were trimmed from the cohort.

We performed separate analyses for the two study outcomes, identified from the national cancer registers: bladder cancer (including in situ; ICD-10 codes C67 and D09.0) and renal cancer (C64 and C65). We used an intention-to-treat exposure definition, and patients were followed from cohort entry until outcome

Corresponding author: Peter Ueda, peter.ueda@ki.se

¹Clinical Epidemiology Division, Department of Medicine, Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

³Pharmacovigilance Research Center, Department of Drug Development and Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁵Swedish National Diabetes Register, Västra Götalandsregionen, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁶Health Metrics, Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁷K.G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

 $^{^8}$ HUNT Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Levanger, Norway

⁹Division of Health Data and Digitalization, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

 $^{^{10}}$ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹¹Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

Table 1—Primary, additional, and sensitivity analyses of association between use of SGLT2 inhibitors versus GLP-1 receptor agonists and risk of bladder cancer and renal cancer

Bladder cancer Primary analysis (1 year lag) Additional analyses by years since treatment initiation All years Additional analyses by years since treatment initiation All years Additional analyses by years since treatment initiation All years Additional analyses by years since becausing years 10 < 3 years	n events per 10,000 per 10,000 person- years 8.2 8.6 9.0 8.5 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	49,398 65,200 65,200 49,398 24,459 46,753 46,753 46,731	n per per 108 38 53 17 70 69 69 69 69 69	n events per 10,000 person- (6.9 1.19 (6.8 1.25 (6.6 1.35 (6.9 1.19 (7.2 1.10 (7.3 1.13 (7.3 1.13 (7.3 1.13 (Crude HR (95% CI) 1.19 (0.85–1.65) 1.25 (0.97–1.61) 1.35 (0.90–2.01) 1.18 (0.81–1.70) 1.19 (0.85–1.65) 1.10 (0.79–1.54) 1.20 (0.85–1.65) 1.11 (0.83–1.64) 1.11 (0.83–1.64)	Adjusted* HR (95% CI) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.98 (0.72–1.33) 1.15 (0.72–1.84) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	nevents (95% CI) per 10,000 person-years -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.1 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 1.10) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
vsis (1 year lag) 57,383 allyses by years since t initiation 89,799 ears ears ears 18,669 ears 18,669 ears of weights >10 57,383 of lowest and highest 2.5 54,885 eles of propensity score of patients with any 54,307 s cancer users of GLP-1 receptor 57,383 of patients with previous 55,771 cone use score with additional 37,881 s (Sweden)‡ s (Sweden)‡ s (Chemark)§ size (1 year lag) 57,393 sulyses by years since	years 8.2 8.6 9.0 8.5 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.7				95% CI) (0.85-1.65) (0.97-1.61) (0.90-2.01) (0.81-1.70) (0.85-1.65) (0.79-1.54) (0.85-1.69) (0.83-1.64)	(95% CI) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.98 (0.72–1.34) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	Person-years -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.1 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
vsis (1 year lag) alyses by years since t initiation 89,799	8.2 8.5 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	49,398 65,200 65,200 49,398 24,459 46,753 46,753 44,917	70 108 38 53 17 70 69 69 69		(0.85-1.65) (0.97-1.61) (0.90-2.01) (0.81-1.70) (0.59-2.53) (0.85-1.65) (0.79-1.54) (0.85-1.69) (0.83-1.64)	0.88 (0.59-1.31) 0.98 (0.72-1.33) 1.15 (0.72-1.84) 0.81 (0.52-1.26) 1.32 (0.60-2.90) 0.88 (0.59-1.31) 0.90 (0.62-1.32) 0.92 (0.61-1.39) 0.82 (0.54-1.26)	-0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.1 (-1.9 to 2.2) 1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
analyses (1 year lag) analyses by years since ent initiation years years years years years on of weights > 10 g of lowest and highest 2.5 on of patients with any us cancer n of patients with any sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors ity score with additional alysis (1 year lag) analyses by years since	8.6 9.0 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	65,200 65,200 49,398 24,459 46,753 46,731 44,917	108 38 53 17 70 69 69 69		(0.83-1.83) (0.97-1.61) (0.90-2.01) (0.81-1.70) (0.85-1.65) (0.79-1.54) (0.83-1.69)	0.98 (0.72–1.31) 1.15 (0.72–1.84) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.1 (-1.9 to 2.2) 1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
analyses by years since ent initiation years years years years years years years years analyses t on of weights > 10 go flowest and highest 2.5 on of patients with any us cancer n of patients with any sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with additional ity score with additional alysis (1 year lag) sy years since	8.6 8.5 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	65,200 65,200 49,398 24,459 49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917	108 3.8 5.3 1.7 70 69 69 69		0.97–1.61) 0.90–2.01) 0.81–1.70) 0.85–1.65) 0.79–1.54) 0.85–1.69)	0.98 (0.72–1.33) 1.15 (0.72–1.84) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39)	-0.1 (-1.9 to 2.2) 1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
years years years years years years years analyses† on of weights > 10 of patients with any us cancer g users of GLP-1 receptor hous cancer and search and previous sty acre with additional less (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional less (Denmark)§ analyses by years since	8.6 9.0 8.2 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.7	65,200 65,200 49,398 24,459 46,753 46,731 44,917	108 38 53 17 70 69 64 69		(0.97–1.61) (0.90–2.01) (0.81–1.70) (0.59–2.53) (0.85–1.65) (0.79–1.54) (0.85–1.69) (0.83–1.64)	0.98 (0.72–1.33) 1.15 (0.72–1.84) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.1 (-1.9 to 2.2) 1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) 1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
years years years years years years years analyses† on of weights > 10 of lowest and highest 2.5	9.0 9.0 7.0 8.2 8.0 8.0 8.0	65,200 49,398 24,459 46,753 46,731 44,917	53 17 17 69 69 69		(0.59–2.53) (0.81–1.70) (0.59–2.53) (0.85–1.65) (0.79–1.54) (0.88–1.69) (0.83–1.64)	0.82 (0.54-1.26) 0.81 (0.52-1.84) 0.81 (0.50-2.90) 0.88 (0.59-1.31) 0.90 (0.62-1.32) 0.92 (0.61-1.39) 0.82 (0.54-1.26)	1.0 (-1.9 to 5.6) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
years years years years analyses† on of weights >10 g of lowest and highest 2.5 sq. 885 ntiles of propensity score n of patients with any us cancer g users of GLP-1 receptor sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous sts at initiation al ity score with additional sty score with additional ity score with additional ity score with additional sty score with additional allysis (1 year lag) sylvars since	8.5 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.7	49,398 49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917	69 64 60 1733.0 69 59 64 69 69		(0.85-1.69) (0.83-1.69) (0.83-1.69) (0.83-1.64)	0.81 (0.52–1.26) 0.81 (0.52–1.26) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-1.4 (-3.5 to 3.9) -1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
years years years analyses† on of weights > 10 of patients with any us cancer of patients with previous sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors according to the state of ST, 383 the score with additional strength a	8.5 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.7	49,398 49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917	53 70 69 69 59 69		0.81–1.70) (0.59–2.53) (0.79–1.54) (0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59)	0.81 (0.52–1.26) 1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-1.4 (-3.5 to 1.9) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) 1.8 (-2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
years analyses† on of weights >10	7.0 8.2 8.0 8.0 8.2 8.0	24,459 49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917	17 70 69 59 69		(0.59–2.53) (0.85–1.65) (0.79–1.54) (0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59)	1.32 (0.60–2.90) 0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	1.8 (–2.3 to 11.0) -0.8 (–2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (–2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (–2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (–3.4 to 1.9)
analyses† on of weights >10 57,383 on of weights >10 sof lowest and highest 2.5 54,885 ntiles of propensity score n of patients with any sus cancer ig users of GLP-1 receptor st at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous intiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with additional les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional les (Sweden)‡ alysis (1 year lag) shyses by years since	8.2 8.0 8.2 8.0 10.7	49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917 47,986	70 69 69 59		(0.85-1.65) (0.79-1.54) (0.85-1.69) (0.80-1.59)	0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
on of weights > 10 57,383 g of lowest and highest 2.5 54,885 ntiles of propensity score n of patients with any 54,307 vus cancer ig users of GLP-1 receptor 57,383 sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ allysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.2 8.0 8.0 8.2 10.7	49,398 46,753 46,731 44,917 47,986	70 69 64 69		(0.85–1.65) (0.79–1.54) (0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59)	0.88 (0.59–1.31) 0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.8 (-2.8 to 2.1) -0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
g of lowest and highest 2.5 54,885 ntiles of propensity score n of patients with any 54,307 ous cancer ig users of GLP-1 receptor 57,383 sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ analyses by years since	8.0 8.2 8.0 10.7	46,753 46,731 44,917 47,986	69 64 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69		(0.79–1.54) (0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59) (0.83–1.64)	0.90 (0.62–1.32) 0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.7 (-2.7 to 2.3) -0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
ntiles of propensity score n of patients with any sus cancer ig users of GLP-1 receptor sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous tiazone use ity score with additional les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional les (Sweden)‡ alves (Denmark)§ salviss (1 year lag) sanalyses by years since	8.0 8.2 8.0 10.7	46,731 44,917 47,986	69 64		(0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59) (0.83–1.64)	0.92 (0.61–1.39) 0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
n of patients with any 54,307 bus cancer lg users of GLP-1 receptor 57,383 sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ allysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.0 8.2 8.0 10.7	46,731 44,917 47,986	64 59 69		(0.85–1.69) (0.80–1.59) (0.83–1.64)	0.92 (0.61–1.39)	-0.5 (-2.6 to 2.6) -1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
g users of GLP-1 receptor 57,383 sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ allysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.2 8.0 10.7	44,917	59 69		0.80–1.59)	0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ allysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.2 8.0 10.7	44,917	69		(0.80–1.59)	0.82 (0.54–1.26)	-1.3 (-3.4 to 1.9)
sts at initiation of SGLT2 tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.0	47,986	69		0.83–1.64)		0,000
tors n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.0	47,986	69		0.83–1.64)		10 / 30 +0 10
n of patients with previous 55,771 tazone use ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	8.0	47,986	69		0.83-1.64)		1010406101
ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	10.7					0.85 (0.56-1.28)	CT 01 0:C-) 0:T-
ity score with additional 37,881 les (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)§ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	10.7						
iles (Sweden)‡ ity score with additional 24,770 les (Denmark)\$ alysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since		35,710	38	7.5 1.46 (1.46 (0.91–2.35)	1.24 (0.72–2.13)	1.8 (-2.1 to 8.5)
ity score with additional 24,770 lles (Denmark)§ lalysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since							
ıles (Denmark)§ ıalysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since	4.6	17,275	15	5.1 0.83 (0.83 (0.38-1.83)	0.78 (0.32–1.88)	-1.1 (-3.5 to 4.5)
nalysis (1 year lag) 57,393 analyses by years since							
57,393 since							
Additional analyses by years since	7.2	49,404	58	5.7 1.30 (1.30 (0.91-1.85)	1.09 (0.73-1.63)	0.5 (-1.5 to 3.6)
nt initiation							
662'68	7.0	65,200	87		1.32 (0.99–1.75)	1.13 (0.82–1.56)	(-1.0 to
89,799	8.9	65,200	29	1.35	(0.86-2.14)	1.20 (0.70–2.05)	(-1.5 to
	7.4	49,404	39	5.4 1.41 (1.41 (0.93–2.14)	1.10 (0.69–1.76)	\perp
18,678	6.5	24,478	19		1.00 (0.49–2.07)	1.04 (0.47–2.30)	0.3 (-3.4 to 8.4)
Sensitivity analyses†							
Truncation of weights >10 57,393 64	7.2	49,404	58		1.30 (0.91–1.85)	1.09 (0.73-1.63)	(-1.5 to
.5 54,893	7.2	46,760	54	5.6 1.33 (1.33 (0.92-1.92)	1.08 (0.72–1.63)	0.4 (-1.6 to 3.5)
percentiles of propensity score							
Exclusion of patients with any 54,318 61	7.2	46,732	53	5.5 1.35 (1.35 (0.93-1.95)	1.14 (0.75–1.72)	0.8 (-1.4 to 4.0)
previous cancer							
Censoring users of GLP-1 receptor 57,393 64	7.2	44,925	48	5.9 1.23 (1.23 (0.84–1.79)	0.97 (0.64–1.48)	-0.2 (-2.1 to 2.8)
agonists at initiation of SGLT2							
inhibitors							

diabetesjournals.org/care Ueda and Associates e95

	Adjusted absolute	rate difference,	<i>n</i> events (95% CI)	per 10,000	person-years	0.4 (-2.1 to 5.8)	1.0 (-2.8 to 8.6)	
				Adjusted* HR	(12 %S6)	1.10 (0.50–2.39)	1.15 (0.56–2.35)	
				Crude HR	(12 %S6)	1.33 (0.69–2.55) 1.10 (0.50–2.39)	1.26 (0.65–2.45) 1.15 (0.56–2.35)	
	onists	n events	per 10,000	person-	years	4.2	6.4	
	SGLT2 inhibitors GLP-1 receptor agonists	1 receptor ago			u	events	21	19
					u	35,710	17,275	
		n events	per 10,000	person-	years	5.4	7.1	
				u	events	16	17	
					u	37,881	24,770	
Table 1—Continued						Propensity score with additional variables (Sweden)‡	Propensity score with additional variables (Denmark)§	

patients with history of any cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer) were Sweden. The Sweden adjusted HR without use of these additional variables was 1.18 (95% Cl 0.70–1.97) for bladder cancer and 1.15 (glycated hemocancer and 1.17 pri in the Register (glycated hemoglobin, estimated Research extreme for bladder fo were performed because Danish Register of Laboratory Results filtration rate, and albuminuria) in Denmark. The Denmark adjusted HR without use of these additional variables was 0.75 (0.30–1.85) ō Swedish National All sensitivity analyses in which weights were weights can be assigned to users of GLP-1 receptor agonists with low propensity scores. The sensitivity analysis excluding all including additional variables with data to avoid exposure misclassification. data **+The sensitivity analyses** Adjusted using propensity score standardized mortality ratio weighting. pressure, and smoking) in :Weighted analyses where a propensity analyses filtration rate, albuminuria, BMI, blood **SWeighted** to or add-on therapy globin, estimated glomerular (0.55-2.44) for renal cancer. cancer. performed because such for renal sored at switch mary analysis. 0.58 - 2.38 event, death, emigration, 5 years of follow-up, or end of study period. Using standardized mortality ratio propensity score weighting and Cox proportional hazards regression with sandwich estimator for SEs, we estimated hazard ratios (HRs) for use of SGLT2 inhibitors versus GLP-1 receptor agonists. For accounting for cancer latency and reduce risk of reverse causation, HRs were estimated from 1 year after treatment initiation.

The cohort included 89,799 new users of SGLT2 inhibitors (proportion of follow-up time by drug: dapagliflozin 59%, empagliflozin 40%, canagliflozin 0.8%, ertugliflozin < 0.1%) and 65,200 new users of GLP-1 receptor agonists. After propensity score weighting, treatment groups were well-balanced on baseline characteristics (mean age 62 years, 64% men, 21-22% using insulin [data on file]). In the analyses of bladder cancer, 57,383 users of SGLT2 inhibitors and 49,398 users of GLP-1 receptor agonists remained at risk at 1 year after treatment initiation. The corresponding numbers in the analyses of renal cancer were 57,393 and 49,404. Median followup time was 2.3 years (interquartile range 1.6, 3.4) for SGLT2 inhibitors and 3.0 years (1.9, 4.2) for GLP-1 receptor agonists.

Use of SGLT2 inhibitors, as compared with GLP-1 receptor agonists, was not associated with a statistically significant increase in risk of bladder cancer (adjusted HR 0.88 [95% CI 0.59–1.31]) or renal cancer (adjusted HR 1.09 [95% CI 0.73–1.63]) (Table 1). In additional analyses, the adjusted HR did not increase with time since cohort entry (Table 1). In several sensitivity analyses, including those with adjustment for additional variables such as smoking and glycated hemoglobin, the findings did not differ materially from those of the main analyses (Table 1).

In this cohort study including almost 150,000 patients from nationwide registers in three countries, use of SGLT2 inhibitors was not associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer or renal cancer. The upper limits of the CIs were inconsistent with a relative risk increase of >31% for bladder cancer and 63% for renal cancer.

The safety signals arose from analyses where cancer latency was not accounted for and clinical trial data used were from selected populations whose small size and short follow-up

time limit the possibility of assessing cancer events (1,3). In our analyses of events occurring at least 1 year after treatment initiation, 73 bladder cancer events and 64 renal cancer events occurred among SGLT2 inhibitor users during a median follow-up of 2.3 years, with >20% of these patients having >3 years of follow-up. In additional analyses, there was no indication of an increased risk after ≥3 to 5 years since treatment initiation. Conversely, while it has also been suggested that SGLT2 inhibitors may increase the short-term risk of the investigated outcomes due to effects on preexisting cancers or the probability of an early diagnosis, we did not observe a significantly increased risk in analyses restricted to the first year after treatment initiation.

Limitations of the study include the risk of unmeasured and residual confounding and potential outcome misclassification, although the Scandinavian cancer registers have high completeness and accuracy. Moreover, although there was no indication of an increased risk after ≥3 years since treatment initiation in our additional analyses, future studies with longer follow-up and assessment of individual SGLT2 inhibitors separately should be performed. In the Dapagliflozin Effect on Cardiovascular Events (DECLARE-TIMI 58) trial, a protective association between randomization to dapagliflozin, versus placebo, and bladder cancer was

observed, and SGLT2 inhibitors have reduced tumor growth in vivo and in vitro in certain cancers, including renal cell carcinoma.

Acknowledgments. A.-M.S. is deceased.

Funding. The study was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society and the Nordic Cancer Union. P.U. was supported by grants from the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, the Swedish Society for Medical Research, the Swedish Diabetes Foundation, and a Faculty Funded Career Position at Karolinska Institutet. B.P. was supported by an investigator grant from the Strategic Research Area in Epidemiology program at Karolinska Institutet.

The funding sources had no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Duality of Interest. C.J. reports personal fees from Pfizer and Bayer outside the submitted work. B.E. reports personal fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boerhringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Mundipharma, Navamedic, Novo Nordisk, and RLS Global outside the submitted work and grants from Sanofi outside the submitted work. S.G. reports lecture fees and research grants from Astra-Zeneca, Boerhringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi outside of the submitted work. H.S. reports consulting fees from Celgene and employment at IQVIA outside the submitted work. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions. P.U., H.S., and B.P. contributed to study concept and design. All authors contributed to acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. P.U. and B.P.

contributed to drafting of the manuscript. All authors contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. H.S. performed statistical analysis. P.U. and B.P. obtained funding. B.P. supervised the study. P.U. attested that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted, P.U. and B.P. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Data and Resource Availability. Study definitions and descriptive statistics are available on request.

References

- 1. Tang H, Dai Q, Shi W, Zhai S, Song Y, Han J. SGLT2 inhibitors and risk of cancer in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Diabetologia 2017;60:1862-1872
- García M. Arteche-Martinez U. Lertxundi U. Aguirre C. SGLT2 inhibitors and bladder cancer: analysis of cases reported in the European Pharmacovigilance Database. J Clin Pharmacol 2021;61:187-192
- 3. Dicembrini I, Nreu B, Mannucci E, Monami M. Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Obes Metab 2019;21:1871-1877
- 4. Ueda P, Wintzell V, Melbye M, et al. Use of incretin-based drugs and risk of cholangiocarcinoma: Scandinavian cohort study. Diabetologia 2021;64:2204-2214
- 5. Pasternak B, Wintzell V, Melbye M, et al. Use of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors and risk of serious renal events: Scandinavian cohort study. BMJ 2020;369:m1186